

Research Article

Role of Inflammatory Markers in Patients with Coronary Artery Ectasia versus Patients with Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease

Mohamed Abdel-shafy Tabl^{1*}, Heba Abdel-kader Mansour¹, Eman Said El-keshk¹, Hani Asaad Kaddah²

¹Department of Cardiology, Benha University, Benha, Egypt

²Department of Cardiology, Mahalla Cardiology Center, Mahalla, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** Mohamed Abdel-shafy Tabl, Department of Cardiology, Benha University, Benha-El Vilal-Amira Fawzia St-Postol code: 1234, Benha, Egypt. Tel: +201223723050; Email: mshafytabl@yahoo.com

Citation: Tabl MAS, Mansour HAK, El-keshk ES, Kaddah HA (2018) Role of Inflammatory Markers in Patients with Coronary Artery Ectasia versus Patients with Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease. Cardiolog Res Cardiovasc Med: CRCM-140. DOI: 10.29011/ CRCM-140. 000040

Received Date: 28 August, 2018; Accepted Date: 19 September, 2018; Published Date: 28 September, 2018

Abstract

Objective: The aim of the study is to compare between Eosinophilic Count (EC), Mean Platelet Volume, (MPV), Neutrophil/ Lymphocyte Neutrophil Ratio (NLR) and High Sensitive CRP (HS-CRP) level in patients with coronary artery ectasia versus patients with obstructive coronary artery disease.

Methods: 150 patients underwent diagnostic coronary angiography in cardiology departments of Mahalla cardiology center and Benha University hospitals, divided into three groups, 50 patients with Coronary Artery Ectasia (CAE), 50 patients with Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease (O-CAD) and 50 patients as a control group.

Results: All parameters were found to be significantly increased when comparing patients have CAE or O-CAD with the controls (P<0.001). Plasma levels of EC and HS-CRP were significantly higher in CAE patients compared with O-CAD patients (0.202 ± 0.075 , $0.126 \pm 0.031/nl$, P<0.001), (2.45 ± 0.5 , 2.23 ± 0.42 mg/l, P=0.045) respectively. Meanwhile levels of MPV and NLR were insignificant in CAE patients compared to O-CAD patients (7.354 ± 0.623 , 7.338 ± 0.486 fL, P=0.642), (2.857 ± 0.88 , 2.667 ± 0.569 , P=0.222) respectively.

Conclusion: This study has revealed a relationship between plasma EC and HS-CRP and Coronary Artery Ectasia (CAE) in comparison to patients with Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease (O-CAD). These results confirm that CAE is a more severe inflammatory process than O-CAD.

Keywords:	Angiog	aphy; Coronary	Ectasia; E	Eosinophils;	Ratio				
High Sensitive CRP; Inflammatory Markers					NPV	:	Negative Predictive Value		
Abbreviations					OR	:	Odds Ratio		
AUROC	:	Area Under	Receiver	Operating	O-CAD	:	Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease		
Characteristic c				PPV	:	Positive Predictive Value			
CAD	:	Coronary Artery	Disease		ROC curve	:	Receiver Operating Characteristic		
CAE	:	Coronary Artery	Ectasia		curve				
CI	:	Confidence Interval			SN	:	Sensitivity		
EC	:	Eosinophilic Co	unt		SP	:	Specificity		
HS-CRP	:	High Sensitive C	CRP		Introduction				
MPV	:	Mean Platelet Vo		Coronary Artary Estadia (CAE) is abarastarized by					
NLR	:	Neutrophil/Lym	phocyte	Neutrophil	abnormal dilatation of the coronary arteries, which is a variant of				

Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) [1]. In general, CAE is considered to be a different form of vascular remodeling in response to atherosclerosis; however, the underlying mechanisms responsible for ectasia formation are clearly unknown [2].

Therefore, determination of the factors associated with the presence and severity of CAE may have a salutary influence on the management of these patients. Previous studies have demonstrated that inflammation, neuro-hormonal process and cardiovascular risk factors are associated with development of CAE. Although it has been suggested that CAE is a commonly a variant of O-CAD, a definitive link between atherosclerosis and ectasia has not been confirmed [3]. Based on the findings obtained from previous studies it was suggested that, a more severe inflammation could be involved in the pathogenesis of CAE [4]. In addition, C reactive protein (CRP) is a sensitive marker of systemic inflammation and the elevation of systemic and local levels of this inflammatory marker has been associated with an increased risk for cardiovascular disease [5,6].

This study will be performed on the hypothesis that a more severe inflammation might be involved in development of isolated CAE compared to obstructive CAD (O-CAD).

Methods

Study Population

Among patients with angina pectoris undergoing angiographic procedures, 150 patients were prospectively selected for this study. On the basis of angiographic findings, they were divided into three groups: 50 patients with isolated CAE, 50 patients with obstructive CAD and age-matched, sex-matched, 50 controls with normal coronary arteries. Medical history, atherosclerotic risk factors, and medications were interrogated on physical examination. Blood samples were obtained at least 7 days after coronary angiography to exclude possible effect of angiography on inflammatory situation. Non-inclusion criteria were as follows: acute coronary syndromes, known aortic aneurysms, hematological disorders, acute/chronic infectious diseases, hepatitis or previously known inflammatory/ autoimmune disorders, acute/chronic renal failure, documented cancer, use of steroids, and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockers. All patients were provided informed consent for participation in this study.

Assay of Inflammatory Markers

Blood samples were drawn into tubes including EDTA after an 8-h fasting period in the morning with minimal trauma from antecubital vein. Plasmas were separated by centrifugation at 3000g for 10 min and then stored at -701°C.

Absolute cell counts and mean platelet volume were used in this analysis. C-reactive protein was estimated. Total lipid profile and fasting glucose were also measured.

Angiographic Evaluation

Coronary angiography was obtained in right and left anterior oblique projection with caudal and cranial angulations for the left and right coronary system. Images were recorded in digital format and stored for later analyses. Right anterior oblique view was considered to evaluate the ectasia for the left coronary system and left anterior oblique view for the right coronary artery. Evaluations were visually performed by two experienced angiographers. The vessel diameter was calculated quantitatively in case of presence of conflict about CAE.

Each major coronary artery was subdivided into proximal, middle, and distal segments. Coronary ectasia was defined as a dilation exceeding the 1.5-fold of normal diameters in major coronary arteries [1,2]. If no adjacent normal segment could be identified, the mean diameter of the corresponding segment in the control group was considered as normal value. A luminal narrowing greater than 50% in the coronary artery was considered as obstructive CAD. Absence of any atherosclerotic plaques was regarded as normal coronary artery. Patients with concomitant obstructive and ecstatic lesions were not included in this study.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were presented as mean \pm SD and categorical variables as number (%). Comparisons between the groups were performed with Student's t-test, Mann- Whitney U test and Fisher's exact test as appropriate. Kruskal Wallis test was used for relationship between the severity of CAE and inflammatory markers. Receiver operating characteristics analysis was used to estimate cut-points for EC, MPV, NLR and HS-CRP to identify CAE patients in the study population. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were made by using SPSS 11.0

Results

Variables	CAE group	O-CAD group	Control group	P values					
	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=50)	P1	P2	Р3			
Mean age	57.7 ± 5.9	58.2 ± 5.9	58.6 ± 5.2	0.355	0.709	0.602			
Male/Female Risk factors:	34/16	31/19	31/19	0.529	0.529	1.00			
Hypertension	30 (60%)	21 (42%)	25 (50%)	0.315	0.072	0.422			
Diabetes	24 (48%)	28 (56%)	21 (42%)	0.546	0.423	0.161			
Dyslipidemia	26 (52%)	26 (52%)	27 (54%)	0.841	1.00	0.841			
Smoking	26 (52%)	27 (54%)	23 (46%)	0.548	0.841	0.424			
Family history of CAD	19 (38%)	18 (36%)	22 (44%)	0.542	0.836	0.414			
P1: CAE versus controls; P2: CAE versus O-CAD; P3: O-CAD versus controls.									
Variables were presented mean \pm SD, and n (%).									
O-CAD: Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease; CAE: Coronary Artery Ectasia.									

 Table 1: Baseline clinical characteristics of the study population.



Figure 1: Demographic data of the study groups.

Variables	CAE group	O-CAD group	Controls	P values					
Variabiles	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=50)	P1	P2	P3			
EC /nl NLR MPV fL Hs-CRP mg/dl	$\begin{array}{c} 0.202 \pm 0.075 \\ 2.857 \pm 0.88 \\ 7.354 \pm 0.623 \\ 2.45 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.126 \pm 0.031 \\ 2.667 \pm 0.569 \\ 7.338 \pm 0.486 \\ 2.23 \pm 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.066 \pm 0.013 \\ 1.117 \pm 0.395 \\ 5.409 \pm 0.499 \\ 0.68 \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	<0.001 0.222 0.642 0.045	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001			
P1 = CAE group versus controls; P2 = CAE group versus O-CAD group; P3 = O-CAD group versus controls.									

Count; NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio; MPV: Mean Platelet Volume.

 Table 2: Inflammatory markers levels of the study groups.

Cut-off value	Sensitivity %	Specifity %	PPV %	NPV %	Accuracy	AUROC	p-value
EC > 0.1375	80%	80%	66.7%	88.9%	80%	0.888	<0.001 (HS)
NLR > 1.9775	88%	60%	52.4%	90.9%	69.3%	0.771	<0.001 (HS)
MPV > 7.0115	70%	71%	54.7%	82.6%	70.7%	0.763	<0.001 (HS)
HS-CRP>1.925	82%	70%	58.6%	88.6%	74%	0.808	<0.001 (HS)

ROC curve: Receiver Operating Characteristic curve; SN: Sensitivity; SP: Specificity; PPV: Positive Predictive Value; NPV: Negative Predictive Value; AUROC: Area Under Receiver Operating Characteristic curve.

Table 3: Inflammatory markers as predictors for CAE; ROC curve analysis in reference to controls.



Figure 2: ROC curve analysis regarding CAE.

In the receiver operating characteristics analysis, EC levels greater than 0.1375/nl identified CAE patients with 80% sensitivity and 80% specificity (Area Under Curve=0.88, P<0.001). Similarly, hs-CRP levels greater than 1.925 mg/dl estimated the patients with 82% sensitivity and 70% specificity (Area Under Curve=0.808, P<0.001).

os a	narkers		Ť		edom			95% Confidence Interval for Odds ratio	
Study groul	Inflammatory r	Intercep	Std. Erro	Wald	Degree of fre	Sig	Odds ratio	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	Constant	-74.516	624.132	.014	1	.905			
	EC	25.369	4702.258	.000	1	.996	104113564502.584	.000	. b
CAE	NLR	3.052	378.475	.000	1	.994	21.150	.000	. b
Ū	MPV	5.273	.472	124.55	1	.000	195.017	77.249	492.325
	HS-CRP	22.184	527.589	.002	1	.966	4307382465.904	.000	. b
	Constant	-63.837	624.118	.010	1	.919			
D	EC	.958	4702.255	.000	1	1.000	2.607	.000	. b
0-CAI	NLR	2.672	378.475	.000	1	.994	14.469	.000	. b
	MPV	4.779	.000		1		118.969	118.969	118.969
	HS-CRP	21.252	527.588	.002	1	.968	1696494334.279	.000	. b
a. The reference category is: Normal CA group. b. Floating point overflow occurred while computing this statistic. Its value is therefore set to system missing.									

Table 4: Multinomial logistic regression analysis for inflammatory markers for CAE and O-CAD.

A multinomial logistic regression model was performed for the tested inflammatory markers to determine the likelihood that participants would have CAE or O-CAD. The result showed that MPV is an independent predictor for CAE [Odds Ratio (OR) =195.017; 95% Confidence Interval (C.I) = 77.249 - 492.325; p<0.001].

Discussion

Coronary Artery Ectasia (CAE) has been defined as localized or diffuse non-obstructive lesions of the epicardial coronary arteries, with a luminal dilation ≥ 1.5 times normal of the adjacent segments or vessel diameter. Isolated CAE has been defined as CAE without significant coronary artery stenosis. This abnormal dilatation of coronary arteries can cause angina pectoris and even myocardial infarction due to vasospasm, dissection or thrombus in patients without coronary artery disease [1,7].

Therefore, determination of the factors associated with the presence and severity of CAE may have a salutary influence on the management of these patients[8]. Previous studies have demonstrated that inflammation, neuro hormonal process and cardiovascular risk factors are associated with development of CAE. Although it has been suggested that CAE is a commonly a variant of O-CAD, a definitive link between atherosclerosis and ectasia has not been confirmed [3,8].

Plasma Esinophilic Count (EC) was found to be significantly higher in patients with isolated CAE compared to both patients with O-CAD or controls (p value < 0.001).

Puri R et al. and Freeman et al., reported in previous studies that eosinophilic vasculitis with medial necrosis has been identified at autopsy in otherwise healthy individuals with spontaneous coronary dissection or rupture [9]. It has therefore been proposed that cytotoxic substances released from perivascular eosinophils may result in direct medial destruction, predisposing to aneurysmal formation or spontaneous intimal dissection and sudden cardiac death [10-19]. Also, Moosbauer et al. and Khoury et al., reported in their studies that eosinophils are equipped with several granule- associated molecules which play a role in the occurrence of thrombosis and vascular injury. Also eosinophils generate an increased tendency to thrombosis through leukocyte, platelet stimulation and release of tissue factor [20,21].

Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) showed significant increase in CAE and O-CAD groups in comparison to controls (p value < 0.001). Previously, Işık et al., stated in their study that NLR is a readily available clinical laboratory value that is associated with the presence of isolated CAE [3]. Also, Sarli et al., stated that NLR was significantly higher in patients with CAE than in patients with normal coronaries [22].

According to MPV there was significant difference between the CAE and O-CAD in comparison to controls [p value < 0.001].

The results of Sen et al., confirmed that patients with CAE have higher MPVs than control subjects with normal coronary angiograms. The increased MPV values may indicate the altered platelet reactivity and aggregation in patients with CAE [23,24].

With reference to HS-CRP, in present study, the mean level of HS-CRP in CAE, O-CAD and control groups was $(2.45 \pm 0.5, 2.23 \pm 0.42$ and 0.68 ± 0.15 respectively) with high significant increase in patients of CAE and O-CAD compared to controls [p value < 0.001]. Also there was a significant difference in serum level of HS- CRP between patients with isolated CAE when compared to patients with O-CAD [p value = 0.045]. These results were consistent with the results of Wang et al., study which reported that HS- CRP levels were significantly higher in patients with isolated CAE, suggesting that more severe inflammation may be involved in CAE [25,26].

Conclusion

As a result, current study has revealed a clear relationship between plasma EC and HS-CRP and Coronary Artery Ectasia (CAE) when compared to patients with obstructive coronary artery disease or controls. This was in context with the hypothesis of CAE as a more severe inflammatory process than O-CAD. In spite of insignificant differences for NLR or MPV in patients with CAE when compared to patients with O-CAD, MPV was an independent predictor for CAE.

References

- 1. Pahlavan PS, Niroomand F (2006) Coronary artery aneurysm: a review. Clin Cardiol 29: 439-443.
- ManginasA, Cokkinos DV (2006) Coronaryarteryectasias: imaging, functional assessment and clinical implications. Eur Heart J 27: 1026-1031.
- Işık T, Ayhan E, Uyarel H, Tanboğa IH, Kurt M, et al. (2013) Association of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio with presence of isolated coronary artery ectasia. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars 41: 123-130.
- Hansson GK (2005) Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 352: 1685-1695.
- Xu R, Feng LM, Jun L, Yuan LG, Cheng GZ, et al. (2016) Gender differences in the association of red cell distribution width and coronary artery disease. Lipid and Cardiovascular Research 2: 42-47.
- Li JJ, Nie SP, Qian XW, Zeng HS, Zhang CY (2009) Chronic inflammatory status in patients with coronary artery ectasia. Cytokine 46: 61-64.
- 7. Eitan A, Roguin A (2016) Coronary artery ectasia: new insights into pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. CoronArteryDis27:420-428.
- Ozturk S, Yetkin S, Waltenberger J (2018) Molecular and cellular insights into the pathogenesis of coronary artery ectasia. Cardiovas Pathol 35: 37-47.
- Puri R, Dundon BK, Leong DP, Khurana S, Worthley MI (2009) Hypereosinophilic Syndrome associated with multiple coronary aneurysms. Int J Cardiol 133: 43-45.

- Fujiwara S, Emoto M, Komatso M, Motoyama K, Morioka T, et al. (2003) Arterial wall thickness is associated with insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic patients. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis 10: 246-252.
- 11. Huang QJ, Zhang Y, Li XL, Li S, Guo YL, et al. (2014) Clinical features of coronary ectasia in the elderly. J Geriatr Cardiol 11: 185-191.
- Swanton RH, Thomas ML, Coltart DJ, Jenkins BS, Webb-Peploe MM, et al. (1978) Coronary artery ectasia--a variant of occlusive coronary arteriosclerosis. Br Heart J 40: 393-400.
- Allen N, Berry JD, Ning H, Van Horn L, Dyer A, et al. (2011) Impact of blood pressure and blood pressure change during middle age on the remaining lifetime risk for cardiovascular disease: the cardiovascular lifetime risk pooling project. Circulation 125: 37-44.
- Adiloglu AK, Can R, Nazli C, Ocal A, Ergene O, et al. (2005) Ectasia and severe atherosclerosis: relationships with Chlamydia pneumoniae, Helicobacter pylori, and inflammatory markers. Tex Heart Inst J 32: 21-27.
- Gunes YB, Boztosun A, Yildiz A, Metin Esen M, Saglam M, et al. (2006) Clinical profile and outcome of coronary artery ectasia. Heart 92: 1159-1160.
- Sudhir K, Ports TA, Amidon TM, Goldberger JJ, Bhushan V, al. (1995) Increased prevalence of coronary ectasia in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. Circulation 91: 1375-1380.
- Markis JE, Joffe CD, Cohn PF, Feen DJ, Herman MV, et al. (1976) Clinical significance of coronary arterial ectasia. The American journal of cardiology 37: 217-222.
- Ogbogu PU, Rosing DR, Horne MK (2007) Cardiovascular manifestations of hypereosinophilic syndromes. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 27: 457-475.

- Freeman AF, Avila EM, Shaw PA, Davis J, Hsu AP, et al. (2011) Coronary artery abnormalities in Hyper-IgE syndrome. J Clin Immunol 31: 338-345.
- Moosbauer C, Morgenstern E, Cuvelier SL, Manukyan D, Bidzhekov K, et al. (2007) Eosinophils are a major intravascular location for tissue factor storage and exposure. Blood 109: 995-1002.
- Khoury P, Grayson C, Klion AD (2014) Eosinophils in vasculitis: characteristics and roles in pathogenesis. Nat Rev Rheumatol 10: 474-483.
- Sarli B, Diao J, Chunmei Qi, Jingjing Jin, Li Li, et al. (2014) Neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio is associated with severity of coronary artery ectasia. Angiology 65: 147-151.
- Sansanayudh N , Anothaisintawee T, Muntham D, McEvoy M, Attia J, et al. (2014) Mean platelet volume and coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol 175: 433-440.
- Serafettin D, Mustafa Kemal A, Zeynep K, Murat S, Ayd RT, et al. (2013) Mean platelet volume in patients with coronary artery ectasia. Postepy Kardiol Interwencyjnej 9: 241-245.
- 25. Yintang W, Yang W, Shijie Y, Hongjian W, Dong Y, et al. (2016) Independent prognostic value of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in patients with coronary artery ectasia. Chin Med J 129: 2582-2588.
- Tokgozoglu L, Oktay E, Ozan K, Cem N, Gulsen H, et al. (2004) Interleukin-6 levels are increased in coronary artery ectasia. Acta Cardiol 59: 515-519.